



PATENT APPLICATION
ELG054-US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of) Group Art Unit: 2612
HURST ET AL.) Examiner: Hung Q. Dang
Application No. 10/619,915) TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Filed: July 15, 2003) 307 Constitution Drive
For: TOUCH SENSOR WITH NON-) Menlo Park, CA 94025
UNIFORM RESISTIVE BAND) June 20, 2007

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.312

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.312 to correct an error possibly introduced via an Examiner's Amendment. A Notice of Allowance for claims 3 to 5, 10 to 12, and 39 to 53 was mailed March 29, 2007.

On March 19, 2007, Examiner Dang called the undersigned to check on the status of this case for which an office action had been mailed on September 19, 2006. The Examiner and the undersigned agreed to a number of amendments, primarily to put allowable claims in condition for allowance by rewriting in independent form. A copy of the proposed amended claims was faxed to the Examiner on March 19th and a copy of the Reply to the outstanding office action, including the amended claims, was mailed, along with a fee sheet and return postcard, on that day. Among the claims that was amended was claim 4, which the Examiner had indicated would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below:

Name of person signing certificate: Marquerite E. Gerstner

Signature: Marquerite E. Gerstner Date: June 20, 2007

An Examiner's Amendment, listing the amendments to claims 3 to 5 and 10 to 12 was included with the Notice of Allowability. On review of these amendments, prior to payment of the issue fee, one minor error was noted. It appears that when claim 4 was transcribed in the Examiner's Amendment from the language presented in the Reply, an error was made, inadvertently replacing the word "thickness" in the second to last line of the claim, by the word "width". This replacement means that claims 3 and 4 are identical, which was not the intent of the original or the amended claims. Applicants respectfully request that this error be corrected.

As Applicants do not know whether the controlling language is that in the Reply or that in the Examiner's Amendment, an amendment to claim 4 as presented in the Examiner's Amendment is presented below.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3. Remarks begin on page 8.